

WASHINGTON, DC 20037

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. Q77912 8886 Akiharu Fukuda 10/15/2003 10/684,659 **EXAMINER** 23373 09/14/2005 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC SAINT SURIN, JACQUES M 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800

2856
DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.		
	10/684,659	FUKUDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacques M. Saint-Surin	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of			
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which			
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or			
(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the			
following time periods:			
a) Me period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37			
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)			
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 			
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary			
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
See Continuation Sheet.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other: HERRON WILLIAMS			
	HEERON WILLIAMS		

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

The claims stand rejected over the prior art of record as indicated in the last office action. Furthermore, Nishimoto discloses reference numeral 8 designates a rubber holder which is filled into a clearance between the peripheral surface of the ultrasonic sensor 2 and the case 5, to thereby secure the ultrasonic sensor 2 in a vibratory manner and which acts as en elastic member for securing the ultrasonic sensor 2, to thereby prevent transmission of oscillation to the case 5. The rubber holder 8 is formed from resin (rubber is optimal) having an elastic characteristic which enables appropriate oscillation of the ultrasonic sensor 2. Clearly, the rubber holder 8 inherently meets the limitations of the first elastic retaining part.